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ABSTRACT

There are conflicting reports suggesting that the parental origin of transmitted risk alleles may play a role in the etiology of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). A recent report by Hawi and colleagues observed a generalized paternal over-transmission of alleles associated with ADHD. This was not replicated in more recent studies. Using data from a large multicenter study we examined the overall and gene specific parent of origin effect in 554 independent SNPs across 47 genes. Transmission disequilibrium and explicit parent of origin test were performed using PLINK. Overall parent of origin effect was tested by Chi-square. There was no overall parent of origin effect in the IMAGE sample ($\chi^2 = 1.82$, $P = 0.117$). Five markers in three genes, DDC, TPH2, and SLC6A2 showed nominal association ($P<0.01$) with ADHD combined subtype when restricted to maternal or paternal transmission only. Following the initial report by Hawi and co-workers three studies, including this one, found no evidence to support an overall parent of origin effect for markers associated with ADHD. We cannot however, exclude gene-specific parent of origin effect in the etiology ADHD.

There is considerable evidence to suggest that expression of particular genes can be influenced by their parental origin. The parent of origin effect cannot be explained through inheritance of differences in DNA sequences alone, but requires additional mechanisms to be evoked. These mechanisms are broadly termed “epigenetic inheritance.” Epigenetic inheritance includes stable and heritable alterations of the genetic code, not including change at the DNA sequence. The epigenetic marking of genes altering their expression can be achieved through a number of mechanisms. To date epigenetic modification has been described at the chromatin- and nucleotide-level. The complex packaging of DNA into chromatin and chromosomes is maintained by the histone proteins. Consequently, the histone proteins are integral to the regulation of access to the DNA sequence and therefore the expression of genes maintained within these structures. Modifications of histone proteins can include acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation, and ubiquitination [Jaenisch and Bird, 2003]. However, the most notable epigenetic modification occurs at the nucleotide level through methylation of the nucleotide base cytosine. The methylation of cytosine residues at the gene promoter is thought to decrease the transcriptional activity of a gene [Robertson, 2005]. Imprints are removed during early germ cell development, re-established later in germ cell development or after fertilization, and maintained during embryonic development. “Imprinting” of the gene effectively tells the molecular machinery within the cell to express only one allele in the cell and its progeny. There is evidence to suggest that nearly 80 human genes show mono-allelic expression consistent with imprinting [Jirtle, 2002]. The mechanism underlying the reading of the imprint can involve many aspects of gene expression, and the silencing can be stable throughout the individual’s life [Federman, 2006].

A high proportion of the imprinted genes that have been identified are highly expressed in the central nervous system and encompass a wide variety of functions including intracellular signaling, RNA processing and neurotransmitter signaling [Davies et al., 2005]. Interestingly, involvement of imprinting has been suggested for a number of common mental disorders, including autism, bipolar
disorder, schizophrenia, and Tourette’s syndrome. The evidence for this has arisen from observed preferential inheritance of risk alleles from either the maternal or paternal line. Imprinting is only one mechanism contributing to these disorders. However, we must also consider the influence of other biological influences such as the variation in maternally inherited mitochondrial DNA and in utero maternal environment [Weinberg, 1999].

There is evidence to suggest that the parental origin of genetic risk factors may play a role in the etiology of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder [ADHD (MIM143465)]. ADHD is typically characterized by inattention, excessive motor activity, impulsivity, and distractibility. Individuals with ADHD have significant impairment in family and peer relations. Moreover, they have difficulties in academic functioning and show high comorbidity with antisocial, mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders. ADHD is a common disorder with a prevalence of European children estimated at between 4.6% [Polanczyk et al., 2007]. Family, twin, and adoption studies strongly support the influence of genetic factors in the etiology of ADHD [Thapar et al., 1999; Asherson, 2004; Faraone et al., 2005]. However, the specific mode of inheritance is unknown.

There have been many association studies examining the role of individual candidate genes in ADHD. Meta-analysis of these data suggests that variation in the genes that code for the dopamine receptors D4 (DRD4) and D5 (DRD5), the 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) transporter (SLC6A4), the serotonin 1B receptor (HTR1B), synaptosomal protein of 25kD (SNAP25) and the dopamine transporter [SLC6A3 (DAT1)] influence susceptibility to ADHD [Faraone et al., 2005]. In examination of putative risk alleles, Hawi and colleagues observed a generalized parent of origin effect in an Irish ADHD study. Using data from genetic variants that showed at least a trend toward association with ADHD (P<0.01) they observed that the previously identified risk allele was more likely to be transmitted with the paternal chromosome than the maternal chromosome (paternal vs. maternal w2=9.6; P=0.0019) [Hawi et al., 2005]. More recently, two further studies have failed to confirm an overall paternal origin effect [Kim et al., 2007; Laurin et al., 2007a]. Gene-specific parent of origin effects have been observed for BDNF [Kent et al., 2005], DDC [Hawi et al., 2001], GNAL [Laurin et al., 2007b], HTR1B [Hawi et al., 2002], SLC6A4 [Hawi et al., 2005; Banerjee et al., 2006], SNAP25 [Mill et al., 2004], TPH2, DRD4, DRD5, and SLC6A3 [Hawi et al., 2005].

This report describes findings of a test for overall and gene specific parent of origin effects in 47 autosomal genes examined in the large International Multicenter ADHD Genetics (IMAGE) study.

The participants described in this manuscript have previously been reported by the IMAGE consortium [Brookes et al., 2006]. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from National Institute of Health registered ethical review boards for each center. Sample collection, SNP selection and genotyping described in this manuscript have previously been reported by the IMAGE consortium [Brookes et al., 2006]. Candidate genes were selected according to a “biological systems” approach. Forty-six genes were identified that play important roles in the regulation of dopamine, serotonin, and norepinephrine neurotransmission. A further six genes important in circadian rhythm were also selected. Genes fell into the following functional groups: dopamine receptors, serotonin receptors, norepinephrine
receptors, neurotransmitter metabolic and catabolic enzymes, neuronal transporters, synaptic vesicle associated proteins, fatty acid desaturase enzymes and circadian rhythm genes. For detailed summary of these genes see Brookes et al. [2006]. Genes located on the X-chromosome were not examined in the parent of origin analysis. The decision not to analyze female probands for transmission from the X-chromosome was made to prevent confounding by X-inactivation.

Pair-wise linkage disequilibrium statistics were calculated using PWLD in STATA9 [Clayton, 2002]. Transmission disequilibrium test and explicit parent of origin test were performed using PLINK [Purcell et al., 2007]. To exclude bias due to non-independence of the tested markers we performed parent of origin analysis on a pruned subset of SNPs that were in approximate linkage equilibrium with each other. Markers were pruned where they showed a variance inflation factor (VIF) of 2 or greater. A VIF of 1 would imply that the SNP is completely independent of all other SNPs [Purcell et al., 2007]. Additional quality control parameters for the data were applied within PLINK. Fifty-two individuals with >90% completed genotyping across the SNP panel were excluded. After pruning the final analysis was performed across 554 SNPs in 590 nuclear families, accounting for 659 affected offspring trios. For comparative analysis data from the non-pruned set of 905 SNP markers were examined along side SNP data from five published studies [Hawi et al., 2005; Kent et al., 2007; Laurin et al., 2007a, b].

Five markers showed an association with ADHD-CT at the unadjusted P<0.01 level. Using the explicit parent of origin test, none show a significant difference in the transmission from the maternal and the paternal lineage (see Table I). An overall parent of origin effect was examined by comparing all maternal and paternal transmissions in the five associated markers. There was no overall parent of origin effect in these data (χ² = 1:82, P = 0.117). Five additional markers showed association with ADHD-CT when restricted to maternal or paternal transmission only (see Table II). Using the explicit parent of origin test on these SNPs only the dopamine decarboxylase (DDC) linked marker rs11575454 showed a weak parent of origin effect (P = 0.039).

In the explicit parent of origin analysis, two additional markers showed nominally significant parent of origin effects at P<0.01. These were rs518511 and rs3730315 linked to the FADS2 gene on chromosome 11 and ADRBK2 on chromosome 22, respectively. Association with ADHD for rs518511 was due to paternal transmissions whilst association for rs3730315 occurred through maternal transmissions (see Table III). The parent of origin effects at these markers did not remain significant when corrected for the 545 comparisons examined in the “pruned” dataset.

To date a number of reports have described markers showing a parent of origin effect in ADHD. As mentioned above, three studies have examined overall parent of origin effects in ADHD [Hawi et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2007; Laurin et al., 2007a]. Additional gene-specific parent of origin effects has been observed for BDNF [Kent et al., 2005], DDC [Hawi et al., 2001], GNAL [Laurin et al., 2007b], HTR1B [Hawi et al., 2002], SLC6A4 [Hawi et al., 2005; Banerjee et al., 2006], SNAP25 [Mill et al., 2004], TPH2, DRD4, DRD5, and SLC6A3 [Hawi et al., 2005]. In a post-hoc analysis we tested whether markers examined in more than one study showed evidence of a parent of origin effect (see Table IV). Briefly, 7 SNP markers across
In the explicit parent of origin analysis, two additional markers showed nominally significant parent of origin effects at $P<0.01$. These were rs518511 and rs3730315 linked to the FADS2 gene on chromosome 11 and ADRBK2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHR</th>
<th>Position (bp)</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>GT</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>All transmissions</th>
<th>Maternal transmissions only</th>
<th>Paternal transmissions only</th>
<th>Parent of origin effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>14449528</td>
<td>SLCO3A3</td>
<td>rs134273</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T NT $\chi^2$ OR P</td>
<td>T NT $\chi^2$ OR P</td>
<td>T NT $\chi^2$ OR P</td>
<td>Z-score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3938434</td>
<td>GSTT1</td>
<td>rs4988979</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>221 221 5.8 1.3 0.009</td>
<td>153 110 4.3 1.4 0.017</td>
<td>157 110 1.3 1.2 0.129</td>
<td>-0.39 0.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3938434</td>
<td>GSTT1</td>
<td>rs4988979</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>239 239 7.1 1.3 0.007</td>
<td>103 103 6.3 1.4 0.011</td>
<td>139 120 1.4 1.2 0.235</td>
<td>-0.92 0.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>70160575</td>
<td>TPH2</td>
<td>rs1380481</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>101 101 6.0 1.3 0.004</td>
<td>110 75 6.8 1.4 0.033</td>
<td>100 72 1.2 1.2 0.278</td>
<td>-1.25 0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>4567249</td>
<td>ARRB2</td>
<td>rs720837</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>66 66 6.0 1.3 0.009</td>
<td>66 66 6.0 1.3 0.009</td>
<td>66 66 6.0 1.3 0.009</td>
<td>0.16 0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>35036083</td>
<td>PNMT</td>
<td>rs290173</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>27 27 6.7 2.5 0.009</td>
<td>16 6 4.6 2.7 0.033</td>
<td>11 5 2.3 2.2 0.134</td>
<td>-0.27 0.790</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Test statistic derived from $\chi^2$ of total maternal and paternal transmissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHR</th>
<th>Position (bp)</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>GT</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>All transmissions</th>
<th>Maternal transmissions only</th>
<th>Paternal transmissions only</th>
<th>Parent of origin effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>50032266</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>rs1524</td>
<td>C/C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>21 9 4 2.3 0.028</td>
<td>12 1 9 22 0.002</td>
<td>9 8 6.1 1.1 0.088</td>
<td>-2.1 0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>50033145</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>rs1646134</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>60 129 3 1.2 0.068</td>
<td>69 70 0 1 0.002</td>
<td>92 60 6.8 1.5 0.009</td>
<td>1.9 0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>50033145</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>rs1646134</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>246 159 4 1.4 0.004</td>
<td>130 75 9 1.6 0.009</td>
<td>90 76 1.2 1.2 0.276</td>
<td>-1.2 0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>50033145</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>rs1646134</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>175 134 4 1.3 0.020</td>
<td>100 66 7 1.5 0.008</td>
<td>76 89 0.3 1.1 0.500</td>
<td>1.4 0.134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHR</th>
<th>Position (bp)</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>GT</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>All transmissions</th>
<th>Maternal transmissions only</th>
<th>Paternal transmissions only</th>
<th>Parent of origin effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>61189030</td>
<td>FADS2</td>
<td>rs158511</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>23 120 0 1 0.047</td>
<td>47.5 67.3 3.5 0.7 0.002</td>
<td>76 53 4.1 1.4 0.04</td>
<td>2.74 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>24424090</td>
<td>ADRB2</td>
<td>rs790015</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>31 27 8.9 1.1 0.009</td>
<td>19.5 7.1 5.3 2.0 0.021</td>
<td>12 20 2.1 0.59 0.15</td>
<td>2.61 0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHR</th>
<th>Position (bp)</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>GT</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>All transmissions</th>
<th>Maternal transmissions only</th>
<th>Paternal transmissions only</th>
<th>Parent of origin effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>14449528</td>
<td>SLCO3A3</td>
<td>rs134273</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>201 344 3.9 0.8 0.030</td>
<td>99.5 131.5 4.7 0.73 0.93</td>
<td>10.5 19.5 0.5 0.93 0.80</td>
<td>1.20 0.229</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3938434</td>
<td>GSTT1</td>
<td>rs4988979</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>379 456 0.2 1.04 0.83</td>
<td>180 187 0.1 0.96 0.71</td>
<td>199 179 1.1 1.1 0.20</td>
<td>0.97 0.327</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>70160575</td>
<td>TPH2</td>
<td>rs1380481</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>244 282 0.6 0.93 0.42</td>
<td>131.5 13.5 0.4 1.08 0.95</td>
<td>11.55 141.5 0.3 0.98 0.93</td>
<td>2.79 0.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>70160575</td>
<td>TPH2</td>
<td>rs1380481</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>221 181 1.0 1.02 0.944</td>
<td>128.4 7.65 12 1.03 0.948 0.99</td>
<td>104.05 79.0 4.7 1.08 0.99</td>
<td>0.69 0.493</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4567249</td>
<td>ARRB2</td>
<td>rs720837</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>121 148 0.9 0.89 0.34</td>
<td>79.5 76.5 0.2 0.92 0.62</td>
<td>61.55 71.5 0.8 0.98 0.39</td>
<td>0.05 0.874</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>30148031</td>
<td>SNRPN2</td>
<td>rs908206</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>106 117 1.3 0.85 0.25</td>
<td>42 51 0.9 0.59 0.35</td>
<td>56 44 0.5 0.58 0.47</td>
<td>0.00 0.951</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>30296853</td>
<td>SNP35</td>
<td>rs908206</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>186 392 0.5 1.04 0.62</td>
<td>200.5 184.5 0.9 1.1 0.33</td>
<td>19.25 196.5 0.1 0.97 0.80</td>
<td>0.03 0.941</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key to studies: (a) Hsu et al. [2000], (b) Lam et al. [2001], (c) Kent et al. [2003], (d) Kim et al. [2007], (e) KIM et al. [2007], (f) IMAGE sample.
7 genes have been examined in the IMAGE and at least one other study. No evidence of a parent of origin effect was observed for any of the tested markers.

In conclusion, in this report we showed parent-specific associations with ADHD-CT (P<0.01) for five independent markers linked to three genes, namely DDC, TPH2, and SLC6A2 (see Table II). Assuming all of the 554 markers are independent and a type 1 error of 1% we would expect to observe 11 associations from both the paternal and maternal transmissions by chance alone. This would suggest that these observations may be due to chance alone.

However, previous data from analysis of DDC show that the association signals are stronger from the paternal chromosome in ADHD [Hawi et al., 2001] and bipolar affective disorder [Borglum et al., 2003]. DDC is located on chromosome 7p11, 27kb from GRB10 (encoding growth factor receptor-bound protein 10), that has been demonstrated to be imprinted in various human and mouse tissues. Imprinting of GRB10 is partial with tissue and isoforms specificity [Blagitko et al., 2000]. Since imprinted genes are often found in clusters regulated by imprinting centers the DDC gene locus may also be imprinted. The direct examination of the imprinting status of the DDC gene shows evidence of asynchronous replication, a phenomenon suggestive of imprinting. However, SNP expression analysis shows biallelic expression of transcribed DDC SNPs in various human and mouse tissues, which is counter-indicative of imprinting [Hitchins et al., 2002]. The imprinting status of DDC is therefore not conclusive but does not exclude the possibility of partial or tissue and developmental phase specific imprinting for this gene. Tph1, the mouse homologue to human TPH1, shows some evidence of paternal imprinting in the mouse cerebellum using a custom murine chromosome 7 microarray [Buettner et al., 2004]. However, there is evidence to suggest that Tph1 is not expressed in the brain, and that the tryptophan hydroxylase in the brain is generated from Tph2 [Walther et al., 2003]. It would therefore be prudent to further examine the human TPH2 gene in cerebellum and other brain regions to examine potential imprints. Moreover, paternal imprinting of the TPH2 gene would support the association with ADHD stemming from transmission from the maternal chromosomes observed in this study.

Two markers showed an explicit parent of origin effect, namely markers linked to FADS2 and ADRBK2. However, it is important to consider that the significance of these findings is driven not only by an over-transmission of a putative risk allele from one parent but a combined under-transmission of the risk allele from the other parent. This would suggest conflicting selection at the maternal and paternal chromosome as opposed to a one-way selection bias as observed for DDC, TPH2, and SLC6A2 described above.

Data from the Irish ADHD study presented by Hawi et al. [2005] suggested that the risk alleles for ADHD are, in general, preferentially transmitted via the paternal chromosome. In three follow-up studies, including data presented here, no evidence to support an overall parent of origin effect for markers associated with ADHD was found. We cannot, however, exclude gene-specific parent of origin effects in the etiology ADHD.
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